

## COMPLAINT

## **JURISDICTION AND PARTIES**

- 1

2. This Court is vested with original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343, and supplemental jurisdiction over the related state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, as such claims arise out of the same case or controversy as the Federal claims.
3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
4. Plaintiff, DANIEL TODD, (hereinafter referred to as “MR. TODD”), is a person of the age of majority and a citizen of the State of Louisiana.
5. MR. TODD resides in Winn Parish, Louisiana.
6. MR. TODD is a qualified individual with a disability under the ADA and the LCHR. MR. TODD is missing a leg.
7. Due to his disability, MR. TODD is substantially impaired in several major life activities including walking and standing and requires a wheelchair to ambulate.
8. Upon information and belief FRANCES PROPERTIES, INC. is a Louisiana business corporation doing business in Rapides Parish, Louisiana. Upon information and belief, this company is the owner of the real properties and improvements which are the subject of this action, to wit: 740 MacArthur Drive, Alexandria, Louisiana 71303 (hereinafter referred to as “the Property”).
9. The business at the Property is a strip mall with several retail stores including a tattoo shop and a small grocery store.
10. DEFENDANT is obligated to comply with the ADA and the LCHR.
11. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the Western District of Louisiana, Rapides Parish, Louisiana.

**COUNT I - VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE  
AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT**

12. MR. TODD realleges and reavers the above Paragraphs as if they were expressly restated herein.
13. The Property is a place of public accommodation, subject to the ADA, generally located at: 740 MacArthur Drive, Alexandria, Louisiana 71303.
14. MR. TODD has visited the Property previously and plans to visit the Property again in the future.
15. During his visit, MR. TODD was deterred from entering the Property because of the architectural barriers described below.
16. MR. TODD lives within a close geographic proximity of the Property. MR. TODD lives in nearby Winnfield, LA.
17. MR. TODD visits Alexandria often as he requires specialized medical treatment there. He also visits Alexandria to go to stores and restaurants that are not found in Winnfield (population of 4,124).
18. MR. TODD plans on returning to the Property but fears that he will encounter the same barriers to access which are the subject of this action.
19. Until the physical barriers are remedied by DEFENDANT, and until an Order of injunctive and declaratory relief is entered against DEFENDANT, MR. TODD is deterred from visiting the Property.
20. Upon information and belief, DEFENDANT is in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* and 28 C.F.R. § 36.302 *et seq.* and is discriminating against MR. TODD due to, but not limited to, the following violations which exist at the Property:

I. UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, THE FOLLOWING BARRIERS ARE ALLEGED TO BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF DEFENDANT:

- A. There are no designated-accessible parking spaces;
- B. The curb ramp that connects the parking lot to the Property's promenade has an impermissible gap;
- C. Other mobility-related ADA barriers to be identified following a complete inspection.

- 21. Upon information and belief, all barriers to access and ADA violations still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA, even though removal is readily achievable.
- 22. Upon information and belief, removal of the discriminatory barriers to access located on the Property is readily achievable, reasonably feasible, and easily accomplished, and would not place an undue burden on DEFENDANT.
- 23. Upon information and belief, removal of the barriers to access located on the Property would provide MR. TODD with an equal opportunity to participate in, or benefit from, the goods, services, and accommodations which are offered to the general public at the Property.
- 24. MR. TODD has been obligated to retain the undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action. MR. TODD is entitled to have his reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses paid by DEFENDANT, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205.

**COUNT II: VIOLATIONS OF THE LOUISIANA COMMISSION  
ON HUMAN RIGHTS**

- 25. MR. TODD repeats and realleges all preceding paragraphs in support of this claim.

26. At all times relevant to this action, the Louisiana Commission on Human Rights, LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 51:2231 et. seq., (hereafter “LCHR”) has been in full force and effect and has applied to the conduct of DEFENDANT.
27. At all times relevant to this action, MR. TODD has experienced substantial limitations to several major life activities, including walking, and uses a wheelchair for his primary means of mobility; and has been an individual with a disability within the meaning of LCHR, LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 51:2232(3)(a).
28. At all times relevant to this action, DEFENDANT’s Property has qualified as a place of public accommodation, resort, or amusement as defined by LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 51:2232(9) by virtue of either supplying services to the general public, soliciting and accepting the patronage of the general public, or having been supported directly or indirectly by government funds.
29. The LCHR prohibits discriminatory practices and provides that “it is a discriminatory practice for a person to deny an individual the full and equal enjoyment of goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations of a place of public accommodation, resort, or amusement . . . on the grounds of . . . disability.” LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 51:2247.
30. The LCHR extends relief to “any person deeming himself injured by” discrimination in violation thereof. LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 51:2264.
31. DEFENDANT discriminated against MR. TODD, on the basis of disability, in violation of LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 51:2247, by denying him the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations offered at the Property due to the architectural barriers discussed above.

32. MR. TODD deems himself injured by DEFENDANT's discrimination and brings suit under the LCHR to recover compensatory damages for the injuries and loss he sustained as a result of DEFENDANT's discriminatory conduct and deliberate indifference as alleged herein.
33. MR. TODD is further entitled to injunctive relief, as well as an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements pursuant to the LCHR, LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 51:2264.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, MR. TODD demands judgment against DEFENDANT, and requests the following injunctive relief, damages, and declaratory relief:

- A. That this Court declare that the Property owned and/or operated, by DEFENDANT, is in violation of the ADA and the LCHR;
- B. That this Court enter an Order directing DEFENDANT to alter the Property to make it accessible to and useable by individuals with mobility disabilities to the full extent required by Title III of the ADA and the LCHR;
- C. That this Court award damages to MR. TODD pursuant to LA. REV. STAT. ANN. § 51:2264 for the discriminatory conduct of the DEFENDANT in violation of the LCHR;
- D. That this Court award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs (including expert fees), and other expenses of suit, to MR. TODD pursuant to the ADA and the LCHR; and
- E. That this Court award such other and further relief as it deems necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

**BIZER & DEREUS, LLC**

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

/s/ Andrew D. Bizer

ANDREW D. BIZER (LA # 30396)

GARRET S. DEREUS (LA # 35105)

EVA M. KALIKOFF (LA # 39932)

3319 St. Claude Ave.

New Orleans, LA 70117

T: 504-619-9999; F: 504-948-9996

Email: andrew@bizerlaw.com

gdereus@bizerlaw.com

eva@bizerlaw.com